

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/991,258	11/16/2001	Robert Olmsted	01113.0001U3	4473	
20792	7590 06/30/2003				
	EL SIBLEY & SAJOV	EXAMINER			
	PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			PARK, HANKYEL	
		•	ART UNIT	NAPER NUMBER	
			1648	,	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del>,</del> ————————————————————————————————————	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/991,258	OLMSTED ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hankyel T. Park	1648
	nication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD	EOD DEDI VIS SET TO EVDIDE 2 MA	ONTH(S) EDOM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a remunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON'  nly will, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 16 November 2001	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
<del>'</del>	on for allowance except for formal mat	ters prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the pra	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-75</u> is/are pending in the		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-75</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 Novemb</u> e	<u>er 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob	ejected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction file	ed on is: a)∏ approved b)∏ d	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are r	equired in reply to this Office action.	
—12) ☐-The oath or declaration is objected	to-by-the-Examiner	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a clair	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority</li> </ol>	y documents have been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority</li></ol>	y documents have been received in A <sub>l</sub>	oplication No
application from the Inter	s of the priority documents have been mational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ion for a list of the certified copies not a	_
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign la 15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim</li> </ul>	anguage provisional application has be for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)</li> </ol>	(PTO-948) 5) 🔲 Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 10

Art Unit: 1648

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-75 are pending and are examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicants claim in all of the claims "an immunogenic fragment thereof" but it is not clear what exactly that fragment encompasses. Do the applicants mean a fragment encompassing "epitope" within the gene product or any fragment that can elicit immune response?
- 4. Claims 1-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims are vague and indefinite in the recitation of "virus-like particles" because it is not clear if "virus particles" is encompassed in the meaning.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/991,258

Art Unit: 1648

6. Claims 16-20, 36-40, 46, 53, 54, 58-60, 72, 73 and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of inducing an immune response, does not reasonably provide enablement for treating or preventing infection by human immunodeficiency virus in a subject. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicants have not shown any evidence that inducing an immune response equates to treating or preventing infection by human immunodeficiency virus in a subject. It is established fact in the field of HIV that such treatment of prevention in a human subject is not a believable finding, without undue experimentation, lacking any evidence to the contrary. The vaccine claims are assumed to be directed to the prevention of the infection.

Page 3

- 7. No claim is allowed.
- 8. Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 located in Crystal Mall 1. The Fax number for Art Unit 1648 is (703) 308-4242. All Group 1600 Fax machines will be available to receive transmissions 24 hrs/day, 7 days/wk. Please note that the faxing of such papers must conform with the Notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30, (November 15, 1989).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Hankyel T. Park, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-7255. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM 5:00

Application/Control Number: 09/991,258

Page 4

Art Unit: 1648

PM, (EST). If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, James C. Housel, can be reached at (703) 308-4027. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

June 29, 2003

HANKYEL T. PARK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER